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San Mateo County

CONSULTANTS

TRC
- Farhad Farahmand
- Mayra Vega
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Non-profit, locally-led electricity providers

Source
EBCE, PCE, SVCE
buy and build cleaner energy

Delivery
PG&E
deliver energy, repair lines, handle billing

Customer
YOU
benefiting from cleaner energy, local control
What are Reach Codes?

- Local enhancements to state code
- Can be adopted at any time
- Addresses:
  1. Building electrification – reduced use of methane gas
  2. Electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure – increased readiness
- Improves economic and energy performance of buildings

Codes are enhanced by stakeholder engagement, why we are here
Adoption of Electrification Reach Codes

- 61% of member agencies
- 57% of electrification Reach Codes statewide
- 21 of 30 also had EV infrastructure codes

Credit: Redwood Energy
2022-23 Initiative

January
Kickoff

February
Begin on-going outreach

March-May
Cost effective studies

June
2nd draft reach codes, outreach

September-October
Local adoption

January 1, 2023
Codes take effect
Presentation Overview

Topics
• Building electrification
• Electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure
• New construction
• Alterations

Agenda
1. Technology and feasibility
2. Costs
3. Policy models
4. Tools and Resources
What are your hopes and concerns for a Reach Code in your community?
Technology and Feasibility

The all-electric Integrated Genomics Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley Labs.

Source: Rutherford + Chekene
18% Commercial & Residential Buildings
12% Road transport

Source: Shayle Kann, Climate Tech VC

In CA, these emissions are overwhelmingly associated with methane gas equipment that can be electrified.
Electrification, Compared to Fossil Fuels

- Carbon-free

Source: Peninsula Clean Energy 2021
Electrification, Compared to Fossil Fuels

- Carbon-free

- Lowest-cost, lowest-risk pathway

Sources: 1) AB3232 Decarbonization Assessment 2021 2) CA Energy Commission 2018 3) CPUC 2021
Electrification, Compared to Fossil Fuels

- Carbon-free
- Lowest-cost, lowest-risk pathway
- Healthier indoor air

Sources: RMI 2020, CEC 2019
Electrification, Compared to Fossil Fuels

- Carbon-free
- Lowest-cost, lowest-risk pathway
- Healthier indoor air
- Job creation

Sources: UCLA 2019, UMass 2021
California Buildings Gas Usage

Residential

- Water Heating: 49%
- Space Heating: 37%
- Cooking: 7%
- Pool Heating: 4%
- Clothes Drying: 3%

Non-Residential

- Water Heating: 32%
- Space Heating: 36%
- Misc: 9%
- Cooking: 23%

2009 Residential Appliance Saturation Survey
2006 California Commercial End Use Survey
Electric is already the majority

Of national new construction homes:¹

60% use electric space heating (40% of which are heat pumps²)
55% use electric water heating
62% use electric cooking
75% use electric clothes drying

Sources:
1 - 2017 American Community Survey
2 - 2017 IEA Heat Pump Conference Proceedings
Equipment

- Space Heating
- Water Heating
- Cooking
- Clothes Drying
Energy Efficiency Comparison of Technology

Typical Energy Factors

- **Natural Gas**: 0.8
- **Heat Pump**: 3.5
- **Resistance / Induction**: 1

**Applications**:
- **Heat Pump**: Space heating, Water heating, Clothes drying
- **Resistance / Induction**: Cooking, High-Intensity Industrial Processes
Electrifying New Single Family Homes in the Bay Area – The Cost Story

**Summary**

- **All-Electric Home**
  - Capital: $10,580 ↓
  - Energy: $7/mo ↑

- **All-Electric Home, Increased Solar**
  - Capital: Equivalent Energy: $5/mo ↓

**3 MT CO2e Carbon Emissions Savings** per year for an all-electric home versus the mixed-fuel equivalent

**191 Net Lifecycle Cost Savings** per year for an all-electric home based on 2030 grid mix

**Capital Cost of Thermal Systems**

- **Mixed-Fuel Home**
  - $25,200
- **All-Electric Home**
  - $18,620

**Annual Energy Use & Generation**

- **Mixed-Fuel Home**
  - Electricity: 14,100 kWh
  - Gas: 9,000 kWh
- **All-Electric Home**
  - Electricity: 9,000 kWh
  - Gas: 5,600 kWh

**Capital and energy costs of thermal systems are based on Residential Building Electrification in California by E3 (April 2019); electricity costs assume CCA generation discount. All-Electric Home, Increased Solar bill impacts are based on Low-Rise Residential New Construction 2019 Cost Effectiveness Study by Frontier Energy (August 2019).**

Version 8 10/21/2019
EV Charging Need and Technology
EV Charging Demand

- Sale of gas vehicles phased out by 2035
- 250,000 EVs sold in 2021 in CA, 12.5% of all vehicles
- Pervasive issues
  - Costs of electrical upgrades
  - Underserved multi-family housing occupants

Sources: California Energy Commission, EO N-79-20
Models project that California will need more than 700,000 shared private and public chargers in 2030 to support 5 million ZEVs as called for in AB 2127 and nearly 1.2 million chargers to support 8 million ZEVs to achieve the goals of the Executive Order N-79-20. Counts for chargers at workplaces, public destinations, and multiunit dwellings generally indicate the number of Level 2 chargers needed. In some cases, Level 1 chargers may be sufficient at select multiunit dwellings. These values do not include chargers at single-family homes.

Source: [CEC and NREL, AB2127 (2021)](https://example.com)
Retrofit costs shown are “best case”

Retrofit can be much higher
- PG&E retrofit 'cost-per-port' ave. is $18,000

Costs include wiring, switch gear, conduit, trenching, and secondary transformer

Sources: 1) Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Cost Analysis for PCE and SVCE 2) Pacific Gas and Electric Company EV Charge Network Quarterly Report, Q2 2020
Automatic Load Management

1 car charging
1.8kW

2 cars charging
3.6kW
1.8kW

4 cars charging
7.2kW
3.6kW
1.8kW
Discussion

What all-electric technology is most important to you?

Can you see yourself living and driving all-electric?
2022 Reach Code Policy Models

1. 2022 California Energy Code
2. Reach codes
   A. Building electrification
   B. Electric Vehicle infrastructure
3. Discussion
2022 CA Energy Code

New Construction

- Heat pumps are prescriptive baseline
  - Residential
    - Space heating in climate zone 3, 4
    - Water heating in climate zone 12
  - Nonresidential – water- and/or space-heating for most building types
  - Performance credit for all-electric design
- Residential
  - Pre-wiring required for gas appliances
  - Higher ventilation rate for gas stoves
  - Energy storage readiness
- Nonresidential - Solar PV and Battery Storage prescriptive

Existing Buildings

- Restricts newly installed electric resistance heating
- Simplified language for heat pump retrofits
Building Electrification – New Construction

All-Electric Municipal Ordinance

- All-electric construction required
  - Also restricts extension of any existing gas infrastructure

New construction definition

- If either of the below are replaced over 3 years for purposes other than repair or reinforcement
  - 50% of above-sill framing, or
  - 50% of foundation

Optional exceptions

- Infeasible to construct according to CA Energy Code
- “Public interest”
- Technology-specific exceptions expiring in 2025
- Electric-readiness required
  - Pre-wiring
  - Physical space

Find our codes on: BayAreaReachCodes.Org
Building Electrification – Existing Buildings

- Inequitable histories
- Outreach and education strategy
- Financing and incentive programs
- Supplier and workforce availability
- Coordination with regional agencies (e.g., BAAQMD)
- Code language
- On and on…
We Need Every Tool in the Box

Forecast of Cumulative GHG Emission Impacts from Selected Policy Options

- New Construction Reach Code
- 2. Energy Assessment
- 3. Upgrade at Equip't Replacement
- 4. Upgrade at Major Renovation
- 5. Performance Standards
- End of Flow
- Unabated Emissions
- Zero Emissions Building Goal

Source: BayREN Policy Calculator
Time of Permit – Electric Required

A/C Upgrade to Heat Pump

At the Time of Permit, all-electric is required
(new installation, relocation, or replacement)

**FIRST COST, PER SYSTEM**
- **Single family**: Cost Neutral ($2,000-$5,000 if scope was only A/C relocation)
- **Multifamily in-unit**: Cost Neutral ($2,000-$5,000 per dwelling if scope was only A/C relocation)
- **Commercial - Single-zone**: Cost Neutral (up to 30 tons)
- **Commercial - Multi-zone**: Cost Neutral (up to 30 tons)

**FINANCING AVAILABLE**
- BayREN + TECH $3,000
- BayREN + TECH $2,000

**LIFE-CYCLE COST EFFECTIVE?**
- **YES, with incentive.**
- **YES, with incentive.**
- **YES**
- **YES**

**ADDITIONAL FINANCING NEEDED?**
- **NO**
- **NO**
- **MAYBE - costs unlikely to be major impediment**
- **MAYBE - costs unlikely to be major impediment**

**READY TO ADOPT?**
- **YES**
- **YES**
- **YES**
- **YES**
**EV Code Terminology**

### Speed

**Level 1**
3-4 miles per charging hour

**Level 2**
10-20 miles per charging hour

**Level 3**
150+ miles per charging hour

### Readiness

**EV Capable**

**EV Ready**

**EV Charging Station**

### Number

Percent of Parking Spaces
## EV Infrastructure – New Construction

### 2022 Initiative - Key Concepts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single Family Homes and Two-Family Townhomes</th>
<th>2019 CALGreen</th>
<th>2022 CALGreen</th>
<th>Model Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>2 EV spaces total:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Level 2 EV Capable for one parking space per dwelling unit</td>
<td></td>
<td>• 1 Level 2 EV Ready circuit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 1 Level 1 EV Ready circuit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**EV Infrastructure – New Construction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 CALGreen</th>
<th>2022 CALGreen</th>
<th>Model code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multi-Family**

- 10% Level 2 EV Capable
- 5% Level 2 EV Ready (low-power)
- 25% Level 2 EVCS

- 10% Level 2 EV Capable
- 5% Level 2 EV Ready (low-power)
- 25% Level 2 EVCS

**% of Parking Spaces**

- Entirely affordable housing: 15% Level 2 EVCS
- 25% L2 EV Ready (low-power)
- 60% Level 1 EV Ready
- Other: 40% Level 2 EVCS
- 60% Level 1 EV Ready

AUTOMATIC LOAD MANAGEMENT ENCOURAGED
100% Access Doesn’t Need to Cost More

$50,000, $100,000, $150,000, $200,000

2019 Reach Code 2022 CALGreen 2022 Reach Code - Market Rate 2022 Reach Code - Affordable Housing

Each scenario is ~0.3% of construction cost

EV Infrastructure Cost for 100-Dwelling Multifamily Building

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 Reach Code</th>
<th>2022 CALGreen</th>
<th>2022 Reach Code - Market Rate</th>
<th>2022 Reach Code - Affordable Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L2 EV Capable</td>
<td>L1 Ready</td>
<td>Low Power L2 Ready</td>
<td>L2 EVCS + Load Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$146k</td>
<td>$127k</td>
<td>$167k</td>
<td>$128k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Turner and Townsend, 2021

% of dwellings with access:

- 2019 Reach Code: 100%
- 2022 CALGreen: 40-60%
- 2022 Reach Code - Market Rate: 100%
- 2022 Reach Code - Affordable Housing: 100%
## EV Infrastructure – New Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019 CALGreen</th>
<th>2022 CALGreen</th>
<th>Model Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Residential</strong></td>
<td>6% Level 2 EV Capable</td>
<td>5% Level 2 EVCS 15% Level 2 EV Capable</td>
<td>20% Level 2 EVCS 30% Level 2 EV Capable 10% Level 2 EV Capable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All other:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Level 2 EVCS</td>
<td>10% Level 2 EVCapable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Level 2 EV Capable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EV Infrastructure – Existing Buildings

Alterations or additions

• **Single Family** – Parking additions or electrical panel upgrades must meet new construction requirements

• **Multifamily**

• **Nonresidential**

When new parking facilities are added, or electrical systems or lighting of existing parking facilities are added or altered and the work requires a building permit, ten percent (10%) of the total number of parking spaces added or altered shall be EVCS.

Time certain policy

• By January 1st, 2025, multifamily and nonresidential properties shall upgrade existing EV Capable spaces required by the locally adopted codes at the time the building was permitted to a minimum of Level 1 EV Ready.
Discussion

How might these codes impact your community?

What would you like to see in locally adopted codes?
Resources

- [www.AllElectricDesign.Org](http://www.AllElectricDesign.Org) Provides free technical assistance on custom projects for practitioners or residents

- **Building Electrification Technology Roadmap** - Covers the technical capabilities of a variety of end-uses

- **Building Decarbonization Practice Guide** Guides architects and engineers towards best practices during design development

- **Ecosizer** - Guides engineers and energy consultants for proper design of central heat pump water heating systems
Residential Incentives

BayREN Home+ Program - Starting point

TECH Clean California - Incentives compliment other programs

SVCE FutureFit Heat Pump Water Heater Rebate

PCE Heat Pump Water Heater Rebate

BayREN has the Home Energy Advisor 866-878-6008, advisor@bayren.org

TECH Incentive Map
Please share your opinions

Please review the codes posted on BayAreaReachCodes.org and share feedback

- Model Reach Codes Recommendations
  
The following building electrification reach code language is based on the anticipated Investor-Owned Utilities Codes and Standards Program (IOU’s C&S) cost effectiveness studies. These studies will be listed under Supporting Resources.

  Do you have any feedback you would like to share on our model codes or other aspects of our initiative? We would appreciate your input!

- What opportunities and challenges do you expect in 2022-23?

- Are the code concepts appropriate for your City/County?
Thank you!

Next Meetings:

**February 15** – Building Industry: Deep Dive into Model Codes

**February 16** – Community: Deep Dive into Model Codes

**March 8** – ICC Tri-Chapter briefing

**March 9** – CALBIG briefing

Visit us at: BayAreaReachCodes.Org
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution grid upgrades are expensive</td>
<td><strong>Sometimes true.</strong> Costs are offset by savings of all-electric construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience, power-shutoffs</td>
<td><strong>Real problem, but gas does not help.</strong> Gas appliance ignition is electric. In emergencies gas is also shut-off. State policy for grid hardening is key.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity</td>
<td><strong>Fair Concern, but all-electric is simpler &amp; not adopting ensures future risk.</strong> PCE and regional partners are encouraging consistency. All-electric is simple and inaction locks in future cost (retrofits, rates) and risk (fire).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In multifamily, central heat pump water heating requires more design expertise and space than gas boilers.</td>
<td><strong>True, training needed.</strong> There are scores of working systems, but best practice guidance is available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Electric heating uses too much energy or can't work in our cool climate</td>
<td><strong>False. All-electric heat pumps are highly efficient and effective</strong> in weather far colder than ours. DOE studies show heat pump space heaters as highly efficient at as little as 5 degrees Fahrenheit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy is not clean</td>
<td><strong>False.</strong> PCE base service is 100% GHG free today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment is not available</td>
<td><strong>Mostly false.</strong> Some scenarios for high-volume or steam applications are more challenging to address. Heat pumps and induction stoves have a long-established history, are widely adopted in other states, but market awareness needs to grow. PCE is addressing training needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Will Electrification Reduce Resilience?

- **Heat Pump Space Heating**
  - Gas furnaces require electric fans, but fireplaces still work.

- **Heat Pump Water Heating**
  - Gas water heaters require electronic ignition or pumps

- **Induction Cooking**
  - Gas stoves will work without electricity, but it’s unsafe

- **Electric Clothes Drying**
  - Gas dryers use electric motors to run tumbler
Can the Grid Handle the Load Increase?

- California Energy Commission’s AB3232 analysis indicates that *aggressive* electrification will result in **20 percent additional summer peak load** through 2030. Winter load expected match summer peak load.*

- The electricity suppliers have a **service obligation** to meet your needs. “**PG&E fully expects to meet the needs** that all-electric buildings will require” -Robert S. Kenney, Vice President, PG&E

- CEC has noted **electrification as the lower cost, lower risk approach** to decarbonization

- CA-ISO has performed a 20-year study and has recommended **over $30B in transmission investments** to account for increased renewables and decommissioned gas power plants

*Represents PG&E territory. Assumes all-electric for 100% new construction, 90% replace on burnout, and 70% early retirement for remaining existing buildings.

Sources: 1) **AB3232 Decarbonization Assessment 2021** 2) **CA Energy Commission 2018** 3) **CA-ISO** 4) **CPUC 2021**
2019 Reach Code Initiative - Litigation

1. **Berkeley – Municipal all-electric ordinance**: Federal court **rejected** the plaintiff challenge because the ordinance does not directly regulate either energy use or energy efficiency of covered appliances. Plaintiff has appealed.

2. **Windsor – Energy Code (Part 6) amendment**: Agency **repealed** reach code because the Town could not sufficiently fund legal defense of all-electric reach code.

3. **Santa Rosa – Energy Code (Part 6) amendment**: CA court **rejected** plaintiffs claims regarding CEQA analysis for all-electric reach code. Plaintiff has appealed.

**Takeaway:** Pending appeals, both a municipal code or building code amendment seem legally defensible.

Sources: (1) Climate Case Chart 1 January 2021 (2) CCC 2 January 2021 (3) CCC 3 June 2021
Building Electrification – Existing Buildings

Our Approach

Summarize codes and development processes
• Point of permit
• Building performance standards
• Point of sale

Prioritize
• Stakeholder engagement
• Building stock assessment
• Financing strategy
• Policy considerations

Develop code for “low-hanging fruit”
• Air-conditioning installations, new pool permits
• “End of flow” date

Reference useful tools
• Statewide Utility Program
• Cost-effectiveness studies
• Electric-preferred retrofit ordinance
• BayREN Policy Calculator
CA residential natural gas prices increased 3x faster than electricity prices from 2012 to 2018

Trend expected to accelerate:

Source: EIA
https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/hist/n3010ca3m.htm
https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/browser/#/topic/7?agg=2,0,1&geo=g&freq=M

The AB3232 Report represents the most current CEC research supporting that Aggressive Electrification is the primary pathway to meeting GHG reduction targets.
Stoves: Consumer Reports Prefers Induction

6 of top 8 Ranges for 2020 were electric, top 2 were Induction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Consumer Reports Rating</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>GE Profile PHS930SLSS</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$2,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>Kenmore Elite 95073</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$1,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>LG Signature LUTD4919SN</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>LG LSE4617ST</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>LG LSE4616ST</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothtop</td>
<td>Whirlpool WGE745c0FS</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Samsung NY58J9850WS</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>$2,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
<td>Frigidaire Gallery FGIF3036TF</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>$1,035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biogas Can’t Get Us There

Biogas Potential vs Natural Gas and Petroleum Use in the US

Annual Energy Use or Potential (Quads per Year)

0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0

Biogas Potential

Natural Gas Use

Residential Commercial Industrial Vehicles

Power Plants

Petroleum Use

Cars Trucks Planes

Indicates more difficult-to-electrify sectors which could most benefit from biogas

Sources
Biogas Potential – National Renewable Energy Lab
Annual Natural Gas Use – Energy Information Administration
Annual Petroleum Use – Federal Highway Administration
LEADING CAUSES OF HOME STRUCTURE FIRES: 2010-2014
by National Fire Protection Agency

- **COOKING EQUIPMENT**
  - Fires: 46%
  - Civilian Deaths: 19%
  - Civilian Injuries: 44%

- **HEATING EQUIPMENT**
  - Fires: 16%
  - Civilian Deaths: 19%
  - Civilian Injuries: 12%

- **ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION AND LIGHTING EQUIPMENT**
  - Fires: 9%
  - Civilian Deaths: 16%
  - Civilian Injuries: 9%

- **INTENTIONAL**
  - Fires: 8%
  - Civilian Deaths: 14%
  - Civilian Injuries: 7%

- **SMOKING MATERIALS**
  - Fires: 5%
  - Civilian Deaths: 22%
  - Civilian Injuries: 10%